

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/737,912	KARAS ET AL.
	Examiner CUONG H. NGUYEN	Art Unit 3661

All Participants:

Status of Application: Non. Final Rejection

(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) Morris, Manning & Martin LLP. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2005

Time: 1:50 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The representative law firm was instructed by the inventors to let this case abandon.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



CUONG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)